

# Ag-Mediated Trifluoromethylthiolation of Inert Csp<sup>3</sup>-H Bond

Yue Zhao, †,‡ Jin-Hong Lin,\*,‡® Xiao-Chun Hang,\*,†® and Ji-Chang Xiao\*,‡®

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Ag-mediated trifluoromethylthiolation of inert Csp<sup>3</sup>-H bond R-H + CF<sub>2</sub>SOCI Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>, Ph<sub>3</sub>P R-SCF<sub>2</sub> with CF<sub>3</sub>SOCl is described. Widely available reagents and operational simplicity make this protocol attractive.

ue to its strong electron-withdrawing nature (Hammett constants  $\sigma_p = 0.50$ ,  $\sigma_m = 0.40$ ) and high lipophilicity (Hansch parameter  $\pi = 1.44$ ), the trifluoromethylthio group (CF<sub>3</sub>S) has received increasing attention in medicinal chemistry, agrochemistry, and material science. Consequently, determined efforts have been devoted to the development of efficient methods for the installation of a CF<sub>3</sub>S moiety to organic molecules. 2d,3 As C-H functionalization is an attractive concept because of its step economy, C-H trifluoromethylthiolation has become a straightforward strategy for CF<sub>3</sub>S installation. Compared with trifluoromethylthiolation of the Csp<sup>2</sup>-H bond<sup>4</sup> and Csp-H bond,<sup>5</sup> trifluoromethylthiolation of the inert Csp<sup>3</sup>-H bond remains a challenging task.

In 2014, Qing reported a Cu-catalyzed Csp<sup>3</sup>-H trifluoromethylthiolation, in which the Csp3-H bond has to be an activated benzylic C-H bond (Scheme 1, eq 1).6 Shortly afterward, Besset disclosed a Pd-catalyzed trifluoromethylthiolation of inert Csp<sup>3</sup>-H bond. The desired products could be obtained in moderate yields, but a bulky directing group is required to be incorporated into the substrates (eq 2). Almost at the same time, Chen<sup>8</sup> and Tang<sup>9</sup> independently described a radical trifluoromethylthiolation of alkanes with AgSCF3 under oxidative conditions (eqs 3 and 4). A wide substrate scope and good functional group compatibility were observed in both approaches. Recently, photocatalytic radical trifluoromethylthiolation was achieved by the group of Glorius (eq 5).10 Although good site selectivity and high yields were obtained, an expensive photocatalyst is needed. Apparently, the trifluoromethylthiolation reagents used in the above methods are expensive or have to be prepared via tedious synthetic procedures. We have been interested in the development of effective protocols for the incorporation of fluorine-containing functionalities into organic molecules. 11 We found that trifluoromethanesulfinic chloride (CF<sub>3</sub>SOCI) could act as a trifluoromethylthio source to realize Ag-mediated radical trifluoromethylthiolation of inert Csp<sup>3</sup>-H bond (eq 6). All reagents in this protocol are widely available and easy to handle.

As it has been previously reported that phosphorus species could reduce CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>Cl to provide active trifluoromethylthiolation intermediates, 12 Ph<sub>3</sub>P was used as a reducing agent in our initial attempts at the trifluoromethylthiolation of octane with CF<sub>3</sub>SOCl (Table 1). A brief survey of the metal complex (entries 1-5) revealed that Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was quite effective (entry 2). Interestingly, no desired product was observed in other solvents (entries 6–8) except CH<sub>3</sub>CN. An oxidant is necessary in the reaction, and replacing K<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub> with other oxidants dramatically decreased the yield (entries 9 and 10). A reaction temperature of 60 °C proved superior to a lower or higher temperature (entry 2 vs entries 11 and 12). Increasing the loading of oxidant from 1.0 equiv to 1.5 equiv increased the yield to 77% (entry 13). However, the results were not reproducible (yields varied between 47% and 77%) if substrate 1a was used in the same equivalent with reagent 2 (entry 13). To our delight, the reaction could be well reproduced by using slight excess of substrate 1a (entry 14). A lower yield was obtained within a shorter reaction time (entry 15). One equivalent of Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was necessary, and decreasing the loading of Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> led to the dramatic decrease in the yield (entries 16-19). No desired product was observed by replacing Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> with K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (entry 20), suggesting that Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> played a crucial role in the reaction.

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand (Table 1, entry 14), we then investigated the substrate scope of the Agmediated trifluoromethylthiolation of inert Csp<sup>3</sup>-H bond. As shown in Scheme 2, a variety of alkanes could be converted smoothly into the desired products in moderate to high yields. A large-scale reaction (10 mmol CF<sub>3</sub>SOCl) could also give the desired product in a good yield (3a, 75% 19F NMR yield), demonstrating the potential application of this reaction. Some trifluoromethylthiolation products are volatile, and therefore, lower isolated yields compared to <sup>19</sup>F NMR yields were obtained. Although C-H bond dissociation energies decrease in the order of secondary > tertiary, both secondary and tertiary carbons were found to be quite reactive, and tertiary carbon showed a higher reactivity. If two tertiary carbons were present in the substrates, trifluoromethylthiolation would prefer to take place at the position which is remote from electron-withdrawing groups (3q-3t). A wide range of

Received: August 26, 2018 Published: October 31, 2018

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Key Laboratory of Flexible Electronics (KLOFE) and Institute of Advanced Materials (IAM), Nanjing Tech University (NanjingTech), 30 South Puzhu Road, Nanjing 211800, China

<sup>\*</sup>Key Laboratory of Organofluorine Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 345 Lingling Road, Shanghai 200032, China

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

Scheme 1. Csp<sup>3</sup>-H Trifluoromethylthiolation

Previous work:

$$R \stackrel{\square}{ \sqcup} H + AgSCF_3 \stackrel{CuTc, KCl}{oxidant} R \stackrel{\square}{ \sqcup} SCF_3$$

$$R^2 \stackrel{\bigcirc}{ \sqcup} H + AgSCF_3 \stackrel{\bigcirc}{ oxidant} R \stackrel{\square}{ \sqcup} SCF_3$$

$$R^1 \stackrel{\square}{ \sqcup} H \stackrel{\square}{ \sqcup} H \stackrel{\square}{ \sqcup} SCF_3 \stackrel{\square}{ \sqcup} (2)$$

R-H + AgSCF<sub>3</sub> 
$$\frac{K_2S_2O_8}{CH_3CN, 60 \,^{\circ}C, 12 \,^{\circ}h}$$
 R-SCF<sub>3</sub> (3)

$$R-H + AgSCF_3 \xrightarrow{Na_2S_2O_8} R-SCF_3 \qquad (4)$$

$$R-H + N-SCF_3 \xrightarrow{\text{[Ir], PhCO}_2Na} R-SCF_3$$
 (5)

This work:

R-H + CF<sub>3</sub>SOCI 
$$\xrightarrow{\text{Ag}_2\text{CO}_3, \text{ K}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8, \text{ Ph}_3\text{P}}$$
 R-SCF<sub>3</sub> (6)

Table 1. Optimization of the Reaction Conditions

entry	MX	solvent	ratio <sup>b</sup>	yield $(\%)^c$
1	$AgNO_3$	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	1:1:1:1.5:1	0
2	$Ag_2CO_3$	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	1:1:1:1.5:1	55
3	AgF	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	1:1:1:1.5:1	21
4	CuSCN	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	1:1:1:1.5:1	0
5	CuCl	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	1:1:1:1.5:1	0
6	$Ag_2CO_3$	DMF	1:1:1:1.5:1	0
7	$Ag_2CO_3$	DCM	1:1:1:1.5:1	0
8	$Ag_2CO_3$	$PhCH_3$	1:1:1:1.5:1	0
$9^d$	$Ag_2CO_3$	$CH_3CN$	1:1:1:1.5:1	trace
10 <sup>e</sup>	$Ag_2CO_3$	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	1:1:1:1.5:1	trace
$11^f$	$Ag_2CO_3$	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	1:1:1:1.5:1	46
$12^g$	$Ag_2CO_3$	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	1:1:1:1.5:1	57
13	$Ag_2CO_3$	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	1:1:1:1.5:1.5	77
14	$Ag_2CO_3$	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	1.5:1:1:1.5:1.5	80
15 <sup>h</sup>	$Ag_2CO_3$	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	1.5:1:1:1.5:1.5	65
16	$Ag_2CO_3$	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	1.5:1:0.8:1.5:1.5	57
17	$Ag_2CO_3$	$CH_3CN$	1.5:1:0.6:1.5:1.5	35
18	$Ag_2CO_3$	$CH_3CN$	1.5:1:0.5:1.5:1.5	0
19	_	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	1.5:1:0:1.5:1.5	0
20	$K_2CO_3$	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	1.5:1:1:1.5:1.5	0

<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: **1a**, **2** (0.4 mmol), MX (1 equiv), Ph<sub>3</sub>P (1.5 equiv), and  $K_2S_2O_8$  in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (3 mL) at 60 °C for 12 h. <sup>b</sup>Molar ratio of **1a/2/**MX/Ph<sub>3</sub>P/ $K_2S_2O_8$ . <sup>c</sup>The yields were determined by <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectroscopy. <sup>d</sup>NaIO<sub>4</sub> was used instead of  $K_2S_2O_8$ . <sup>e</sup>PhI(OAc)<sub>2</sub> was used instead of  $K_2S_2O_8$ . <sup>f</sup>The reaction temperature was 50 °C. <sup>g</sup>The reaction temperature was 6 h.

functional groups could be tolerated, such as ketones, esters, amides, ethers, halides, and nitriles, demonstrating the synthetic utility of this protocol. To our surprise, the benzylic C—H bond is inert under these conditions. For instance, no desired product was observed for the conversion of toluene or 1-ethylnaphthalene.

Some preliminary experimental results were collected to gain more mechanistic insights into the C-H trifluoromethylthiolation process. The reaction gave the desired product in low yields in the presence of a radical scavenger, such as TEMPO (2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-1-oxyl), hydroquinone, or 1,4-dinitrobenzene, suggesting that a radical pathway may be involved (Scheme 3, eq 1). For the conversion of substrate 1o, a cyclization product (3o') was produced in 45% yield (eq 2), further supporting the radical mechanism. Without the presence of the CF<sub>3</sub>SOCl/Ph<sub>3</sub>P system, substrate 1o could also be converted into cyclization product 3o', indicating that the  $Ag_2CO_3/K_2S_2O_8$  system would lead to the generation of a radical intermediate from the substrate (eq 3).

Although Ph<sub>3</sub>P is a reducing agent and K<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub> is an oxidizing agent, the reaction between them is quite slow, whereas the reaction of Ph<sub>3</sub>P with CF<sub>3</sub>SOCl occurred rapidly. Almost no Ph<sub>3</sub>P was converted by heating the mixture of Ph<sub>3</sub>P and K<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub> at 60 °C for 10 min. But, after the mixture of Ph<sub>3</sub>P and CF<sub>3</sub>SOCl was stirred for 10 min, CF<sub>3</sub>SOCl was completely consumed to produce a major product, CF<sub>3</sub>SSCF<sub>3</sub>, which was confirmed by <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectroscopy ( $\delta$ : -46.2 ppm) <sup>13</sup> and GC-MS (M+: 201.9) (Scheme 4, eq 1). 31P NMR analysis of the reaction mixture revealed that Ph<sub>3</sub>P was completely converted into two species,  $Ph_3P=O$  and  $(Ph_3P+Cl\ Cl^-)$  ( $\delta$ : +65 ppm)<sup>14</sup> (eq 1). The molar ratio of these two species determined by <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy was 3.4:1 [Ph<sub>3</sub>P=O/ (Ph<sub>3</sub>P<sup>+</sup>Cl Cl<sup>-</sup>)]. After the complete conversion of CF<sub>3</sub>SOCl into CF<sub>3</sub>SSCF<sub>3</sub>, substrate 1a and the Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>/K<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub> reagent system were added, and the resultant mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 12 h (eq 2). A 60% <sup>19</sup>F NMR yield of the desired product was obtained, suggesting that CF<sub>3</sub>SSCF<sub>3</sub> is a key intermediate for this trifluoromethylthiolation process.

On the basis of the above results, the plausible mechanism is proposed as shown in Scheme 5. CF<sub>3</sub>SOCl could be reduced by Ph<sub>3</sub>P to form CF<sub>3</sub>SCl (trifluoromethanesulfenyl chloride) via a halogen bonding process to form chlorophosphonium salt (ClP<sup>+</sup>Ph<sub>3</sub>) and an Arbuzov conversion to release Ph<sub>3</sub>P=O. LA further halogen bonding process between Ph<sub>3</sub>P and CF<sub>3</sub>SCl generates chlorophosphonium salt (ClP<sup>+</sup>Ph<sub>3</sub>) and trifluoromethylthio anion (CF<sub>3</sub>S<sup>-</sup>), which attacks CF<sub>3</sub>SCl to produce CF<sub>3</sub>SSCF<sub>3</sub> and a chloride anion. The disproportionation of peroxydisulfate anion in the presence of a Ag<sup>+</sup> salt gives a sulfate radical anion. The abstraction of a hydrogen atom

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

Scheme 2. Ag-Mediated Trifluoromethylthiolation of Inert Csp<sup>3</sup>-H Bond<sup>c</sup>

<sup>c</sup>Isolated yields. Reaction conditions: substrate 1 (1.5 equiv),  $CF_3SOCI$  (0.4 mmol, 1 equiv),  $Ag_2CO_3$  (1 equiv),  $Ph_3P$  (1.5 equiv), and  $F_3CO_3$  (1.5 equiv) in  $CH_3CN$  (3 mL) at 60 °C for 12 h. Within the schematic: athe yields were determined by  $F_3CO_3$  (1 equiv),  $F_3CO_3$  (1.5 equiv), and  $F_3CO_3$  (1.5 equiv),  $F_3CO_3$  (1.5

Scheme 3. Mechanistic Evidence

<sup>c</sup>Within the schematic: <sup>a</sup>the yield was determined by <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectroscopy; <sup>b</sup>isolated yield.

from the substrates by the sulfate radical anion would readily occur to generate an alkyl radical. The reaction of the alkyl radical with CF<sub>3</sub>SSCF<sub>3</sub> provides the final trifluoromethylth-

iolation product and a trifluoromethylthio radical ( $CF_3S^{\bullet}$ ), the combination of which with the alkyl radical also furnishes the trifluoromethylthiolation product.

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

## Scheme 4. Mechanistic Investigations

"Within the schematic: "the yield was determined by 19F NMR spectroscopy; bthe molar ratio was determined by 31P NMR spectroscopy.

## Scheme 5. Proposed Reaction Mechanism

$$\begin{array}{c} O \\ F_3CS \\ \hline \\ CI \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} PPh_3 \\ \hline \\ CF_3S-O \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} CF_3S-O \\ \hline \\ CI \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} PPh_3 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

In summary, we have described the Ag-mediate trifluor-omethylthiolation of inert Csp<sup>3</sup>–H bond with CF<sub>3</sub>SOCl. All reagents used in this process were widely available, and the reactions occurred smoothly under mild conditions. A broad substrate scope and good functional group compatibility were observed, demonstrating the synthetic utility of this trifluor-omethylthiolation protocol. Due to its operational convenience and step economy, the protocol may find application in the synthesis of CF<sub>3</sub>S-containing pharmaceuticals and agrochemicals.

## **■ EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**General Information.**  $^{1}$ H,  $^{13}$ C, and  $^{19}$ F NMR spectra were detected on a 500, 400, or 300 MHz NMR spectrometer. Data for  $^{1}$ H,  $^{13}$ C, and  $^{19}$ F NMR were recorded as follows: chemical shift ( $\delta$ , ppm), multiplicity (s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, m = multiplet, q = quartet, coupling constant (s) in Hz). Mass spectra were obtained on a GC-MS or LC-MS (ESI) instrument. High-resolution mass data were recorded on a high-resolution mass spectrometer in the ESI mode. The mass analyzer type for HRMS-ESI is a Fourier transform mass spectrometer. Unless otherwise noted, all reagents, including CF<sub>3</sub>SOCl (95% purity), were obtained commercially and used without further purification.

General Procedure for Trifluoromethylthiolation. Under an  $N_2$  atmosphere, into a 15 mL sealed tube was added triphenylphosphine (0.6 mmol, 1.5 equiv),  $K_2S_2O_8$  (0.6 mmol, 1.5 equiv),  $Ag_2CO_3$  (0.4 mmol, 1.0 equiv),  $CH_3CN$  (3 mL), alkane substrate (0.6 mmol, 1.5 equiv), and trifluoromethanesulfinic chloride (0.4 mmol, 1.0 equiv). The tube was sealed, and the mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 12 h. After being cooled to room temperature, the mixture was filtered to remove the solid. The solid was washed with dichloromethane, and the combined organic phase was concentrated to remove the solvent. The residue was subjected to flash column chromatography to give the pure product.

Cyclooctyl(trifluoromethyl)sulfane (3a).<sup>8</sup> 64% yield; 54 mg. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 3.53–3.46 (m, 1H), 2.11–2.04 (m, 2H), 1.83–1.69 (m, 4H), 1.63–1.49 (m, 8H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 131.7 (q, J = 306.1 Hz), 45.9, 32.9, 27.5, 25.9, 25.0. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : -39.70 (s, 3F). GC-MS (EI) m/z: 212.2 (M<sup>+</sup>)

*Cycloheptyl(trifluoromethyl)sulfane (3b).*<sup>8</sup> 37% yield; 29 mg. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 3.49–3.42 (m, 1H), 2.13–2.06 (m, 2H), 1.78–1.66 (m, 4H), 1.63–1.49 (m, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 131.6 (q, J = 306.2 Hz), 46.3, 35.8, 28.4, 25.7. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : -39.68 (s, 3F). GC-MS (EI) m/z: 198.1 (M<sup>+</sup>).

*Cyclodecyl(trifluoromethyl)sulfane* (3c). <sup>8</sup> 58% yield; 56 mg. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 3.59–3.53 (m, 1H), 1.97–1.79 (m, 4H), 1.71–1.61 (m, 4H), 1.57–1.52 (m, 10H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 131.7 (q, J = 306.1 Hz), 44.0, 32.2, 25.6, 25.1, 24.9, 23.7. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : -39.61 (s, 3F). GC-MS (EI) m/z: 240.2 (M<sup>+</sup>).

*Cyclododecyl(trifluoromethyl)sulfane* (*3d*). S5% yield; S9 mg.  $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 3.35–3.29 (m, 1H), 1.86–1.77 (m, 2H), 1.69–1.61 (m, 2H), 1.57–1.50 (m, 2H), 1.42–1.31 (m, 16H).  $^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 131.8 (q, J = 306.1 Hz), 42.9, 31.3, 24.2, 24.0, 23.8, 23.7, 22.3.  $^{19}$ F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : –39.59 (s, 3F). GC-MS (EI) m/z: 268.1 (M<sup>+</sup>).

5-Methyl-5-((trifluoromethyl)thio)hexan-2-one (3e).<sup>8</sup> 67% yield; 57 mg. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 2.60 (t, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 2.15 (s, 3H), 1.92 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.41 (s, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 207.6, 131.1 (q, J = 308.1 Hz), 51.7, 39.4, 36.4, 30.2, 29.7. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : -35.97 (s, 3F). GC-MS (EI) m/z: 214.0 (M<sup>+</sup>)

4-Methyl-4-((trifluoromethyl)thio)pentan-2-one (3f).<sup>8</sup> 23% yield; 18 mg. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 2.90 (s, 2H), 2.16 (s, 3H),1.58 (s, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 205.5, 131.2 (q, J = 307.7 Hz), 54.8, 49.4, 32.1, 29.2. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: -35.68 (s, 3F). GC-MS (EI) m/z: 200.0 (M<sup>+</sup>).

4-((Trifluoromethyl)thio)pentanenitrile (**3g**).<sup>8</sup> 37% yield; 27 mg. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 3.42–3.34 (m, 1H), 2.54 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.07–1.91 (m, 2H), 1.49 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 130.9 (q, J = 308.1 Hz), 118.8, 40.2, 32.7, 22.4, 15.1. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: –38.94 (s, 3F). GC-MS (EI) m/z: 183.0 (M<sup>+</sup>).

(*4-Bromo-2-methylbutan-2-yl)*(trifluoromethyl)sulfane (*3h*).<sup>8</sup> 38% yield; 38 mg. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 3.48 (t, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 2.28 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 1.48 (s, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 130.9 (q, J = 307.9 Hz), 52.0, 46.4, 29.7, 27.5. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: -35.95 (s, 3F). GC-MS (EI) m/z: 251.9 (M<sup>+</sup>).

2-Methyl-2-((trifluoromethyl)thio)propyl Acetate (3i). 44% yield; 38 mg; colorless liquid.  $^1$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 4.14 (s, 2H), 2.10 (s, 3H), 1.46 (s, 6H).  $^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 170.8, 130.9 (q, J = 309.1 Hz), 71.1, 50.1, 26.5, 21.0.  $^{19}$ F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : -35.45 (s, 3F). HRMS-ESI (m/z): calcd for C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>15</sub>F<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>SN [M + NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 234.0770; found, 234.0770. IR(KBr): 2962, 1261, 1096, 1027, 799, 704 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

Ethyl 3-Methyl-3-((trifluoromethyl)thio)butanoate (**3j**). 55% yield; 51 mg; colorless liquid.  $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 4.15 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.75 (s, 2H), 1.59 (s, 6H), 1.26 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H).  $^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 169.9, 131.1 (q, J = 307.9 Hz), 61.0, 49.2, 47.8, 29.4, 14.5.  $^{19}$ F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : -35.91

(s, 3F). HRMS-ESI (m/z): calcd for  $C_8H_{17}F_3O_2SN$  [M + NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 248.0927; found, 248.0926. IR(KBr): 2962, 2929, 1734, 1466, 1371, 1119, 1035, 869, 805, 756, 721 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

Methyl 4-Methyl-4-((trifluoromethyl)thio)pentanoate (3k). 9 64% yield; 59 mg.  $^1$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 3.66 (s, 3H), 2.47 (t, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 2.01 (t, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 1.43 (s, 6H).  $^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 173.7, 131.1 (q, J = 307.6 Hz), 52.1, 51.5, 37.9, 30.1, 29.5.  $^{19}$ F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: -35.97 (s, 3F). GC-MS (EI) m/z: 129.1 ([M – SCF<sub>3</sub>] $^+$ ).

4-(2-((Trifluoromethyl)thio)propan-2-yl)cyclohexan-1-one (3I). <sup>10</sup> 19% yield; 18 mg. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 2.45–2.21 (m, 6H), 2.05–1.99 (m, 1H), 1.62–1.51 (m, 2H), 1.46 (s, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 210.9, 131.3 (q, J = 309.1 Hz), 55.5, 46.4, 40.9, 27.7, 27.2. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : -35.01 (s, 3F). GC-MS (EI) m/z: 240.1 (M<sup>+</sup>).

2-(3-Methyl-3-((trifluoromethyl)thio)butoxy)isoindoline-1,3-dione (**3m**).<sup>8</sup> 54% yield; 72 mg. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 7.83–7.79 (m, 2H), 7.76–7.73 (m, 2H), 4.38 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.22 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 1.56 (s, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 163.7, 134.9, 131.0 (q, J = 307.6 Hz), 129.2, 123.9, 75.5, 50.8, 40.9, 30.0. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: –35.89 (s, 3F). MS (EI) m/z: 232.1 ([M – SCF<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup>).

2-(3-Methyl-3-((trifluoromethyl)thio)butyl)isoindoline-1,3-dione (3n). 8 1% yield; 103 mg.  $^1$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.82–7.80 (m, 2H), 7.70–7.68 (m, 2H), 3.82 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 2.04 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 1.52 (s, 6H).  $^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 168.3, 134.3, 132.4, 131.0 (q, J = 307.9 Hz), 123.5, 50.4, 41.1, 34.5, 29.6.  $^{19}$ F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : -35.76 (s, 3F). GC-MS (EI) m/z: 317.1 (M $^+$ ).

1-(4-(tert-Buryl)phenyl)-4-methyl-4-((trifluoromethyl)thio)pentan-1-one (**3o**). 47% yield; 62 mg; colorless liquid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 7.92 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.49 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 3.14 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 2.14 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 1.51 (s, 6H), 1.35 (s, 9H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 199.1, 157.3, 134.5, 131.3 (q, J = 309.1 Hz), 128.4, 125.9, 52.1, 37.1, 35.5, 34.4, 31.4, 30.0. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: -35.77 (s, 3F). HRMS-ESI (m/z): calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>24</sub>F<sub>3</sub>OS [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, 333.1494; found, 333.1494. IR(KBr): 2967, 2907, 2870, 1683, 1606, 1568, 1470, 1392, 1318, 1225, 1098, 999, 842, 755, 583 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

3-Methyl-3-((trifluoromethyl)thio)butyl benzoate (**3p**). 83% yield; 97 mg.  $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 8.03 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 4.52 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 2.21 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 1.56 (s, 6H).  $^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 166.7, 133.4, 131.1 (q, J = 307.6 Hz), 130.4, 129.9, 128.7, 61.9, 50.6, 41.6, 30.1.  $^{19}$ F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: -35.76 (s, 3F). GC-MS (EI) m/z: 292.1 (M<sup>+</sup>).

5-Methyl-5-((trifluoromethyl)thio)hexan-2-yl 4-Cyanobenzoate (3q). 9 86% yield; 119 mg.  $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 8.11 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.73 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 5.18–5.13 (m, 1H), 1.95–1.68 (m, 4H), 1.44 (s, 6H), 1.37 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 3H).  $^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 164.7, 134.7, 132.5, 131.2 (q, J = 307.6 Hz), 130.3, 118.3, 116.6, 72.8, 51.8, 38.9, 31.4, 29.7, 20.2.  $^{19}$ F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: -35.80 (s, 3F). GC-MS (EI) m/z: 244.1 ([M – SCF<sub>3</sub>] $^{+}$ ).

5-Methyl-5-((trifluoromethyl)thio)hexan-2-yl 4-(Trifluoromethyl)benzoate (3r). 76% yield; 118 mg.  $^1$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 8.14 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.71 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 5.30–4.93 (m, 1H), 1.98–1.66 (m, 4H), 1.46 (s, 6H), 1.39 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 3H).  $^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 165.3, 134.7 (q, J = 32.7 Hz), 134.2, 131.3 (q, J = 309.1), 130.3, 125.7 (q, J = 3.7 Hz), 124.0 (q, J = 272.6 Hz), 72.5, 51.9, 39.0, 31.5, 29.8, 20.4.  $^{19}$ F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : -35.83 (s, 3F), -63.18 (s, 3F). ESI (m/z):  $C_{16}H_{18}F_{6}O_{7}SNa$  [M + Na] + 411.1.

5-Methyl-5-((trifluoromethyl)thio)hexan-2-yl 4-Methoxyben-zoate (3s):  $^{9}$  67% yield; 93 mg.  $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 7.98 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.91 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 5.13–5.09 (m, 1H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 1.93–1.67 (m, 4H), 1.45 (s, 6H), 1.35 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3H).  $^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 166.2, 163.6, 131.8, 131.3 (q, J = 307.6 Hz), 123.3, 113.9, 71.2, 55.7, 52.0, 39.1, 31.6, 29.8, 20.4.  $^{19}$ F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: -35.76 (s, 3F). GC-MS (EI) m/z: 350.2 (M<sup>+</sup>).

5-Methyl-5-((trifluoromethyl)thio)hexan-2-yl 4-Fluorobenzoate (3t). 83% yield; 112 mg.  $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 8.06–8.02 (m, 2H), 7.10 (t, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 5.15–5.11 (m, 1H), 1.92–1.68 (m, 4H), 1.45 (s, 6H), 1.36 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3H).  $^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 166.1 (d, J = 253.5 Hz), 165.5, 132.4 (d, J = 9.8 Hz), 131.3 (q, J = 307.6 Hz), 127.2 (d, J = 3.4 Hz), 115.8 (d, J = 21.9 Hz), 71.9, 51.9, 39.0, 31.6, 29.8, 20.4.  $^{19}$ F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : -35.80 (s, 3F), -105.96  $\sim$  -106.05 (m, 1F). GC-MS (EI) m/z: 237.1 ([M - SCF<sub>3</sub>] $^{+}$ ).

6-(tert-Butyl)-4,4-dimethyl-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-1(2H)-one (**3o**'). 45% yield; 41 mg; colorless liquid.  $^1$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 7.95 (d, J=8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (d, J=1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (dd, J=8.3, 19 Hz, 1H), 2.70 (t, J=6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.01 (t, J=6.8 Hz, 2H), 1.39 (s, 6H), 1.34 (s, 9H).  $^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 198.5, 157.7, 152.3, 129.1, 127.5, 123.9, 122.6, 37.6, 35.6, 35.4, 34.4, 31.4, 30.1. HRMS-ESI (m/z): calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>23</sub>O [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, 231.1743; found, 231.1743. IR(KBr): 3351, 2961, 2868, 1685, 1604, 1465, 1387, 1285, 1198, 1092, 1021, 880, 837, 810, 696, 655, 569, 485 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

## ASSOCIATED CONTENT

## **S** Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.8b02207.

Copies of <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>19</sup>F, and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of compounds (PDF)

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

## **Corresponding Authors**

\*E-mail: jlin@sioc.ac.cn (J.-H.L.).

\*E-mail: iamxchhang@njtech.edu.cn (X.-C.H.).

\*E-mail: jchxiao@sioc.ac.cn (J.-C.X.).

### ORCID ®

Jin-Hong Lin: 0000-0002-7000-9540 Xiao-Chun Hang: 0000-0002-3097-1268 Ji-Chang Xiao: 0000-0001-8881-1796

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the National Basic Research Program of China (2015CB931903), the National Natural Science Foundation (21421002, 21472222, 21502214, and 21672242), the Chinese Academy of Sciences (XDA02020105 and XDA02020106), and the Key Research Program of Frontier Sciences (CAS) (QYZDJ-SSW-SLH049) for financial support.

# REFERENCES

(1) (a) Leo, A.; Hansch, C.; Elkins, D. Partition coefficients and their uses. *Chem. Rev.* **1971**, *71*, *525*–616. (b) Hansch, C.; Leo, A.; Unger, S. H.; Kim, K. H.; Nikaitani, D.; Lien, E. J. Aromatic substituent constants for structure-activity correlations. *J. Med. Chem.* **1973**, *16*, 1207–1216. (c) Hansch, C.; Leo, A.; Taft, R. W. A survey of Hammett substituent constants and resonance and field parameters. *Chem. Rev.* **1991**, *91*, 165–195.

(2) (a) Leroux, F.; Jeschke, P.; Schlosser, M.  $\alpha$ -Fluorinated Ethers, Thioethers, and Amines: Anomerically Biased Species. *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, 105, 827–856. (b) Kirsch, P. *Modern Fluoroorganic Chemistry: Synthesis, Reactivity, Applications*, 2nd ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2013. (c) Landelle, G.; Panossian, A.; Leroux, F. Trifluoromethyl ethers and -thioethers as tools for medicinal chemistry and drug discovery. *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* **2014**, *14*, 941–951. (d) Xu, X.-H.; Matsuzaki, K.; Shibata, N. Synthetic Methods for Compounds Having CF<sub>3</sub>-S Units on Carbon by

Trifluoromethylation, Trifluoromethylthiolation, Triflylation, and Related Reactions. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 731–764.

- (3) (a) Tlili, A.; Billard, T. Formation of C-SCF<sub>3</sub> Bonds through Direct Trifluoromethylthiolation. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 6818–6819. (b) Chu, L.; Qing, F.-L. Oxidative Trifluoromethylation and Trifluoromethylthiolation Reactions Using (Trifluoromethyl)-trimethylsilane as a Nucleophilic CF<sub>3</sub> Source. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2014**, *47*, 1513–1522. (c) Yang, X.; Wu, T.; Phipps, R. J.; Toste, F. D. Advances in Catalytic Enantioselective Fluorination, Mono-, Di-, and Trifluoromethylation, and Trifluoromethylthiolation Reactions. *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *115*, 826–870. (d) Shao, X.; Xu, C.; Lu, L.; Shen, Q. Shelf-Stable Electrophilic Reagents for Trifluoromethylthiolation. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2015**, *48*, 1227–1236. (e) Chachignon, H. l. n.; Cahard, D. State-of-the-Art in Electrophilic Trifluoromethylthiolation Reagents. *Chin. J. Chem.* **2016**, *34*, 445–454. (f) Zhang, P.; Lu, L.; Shen, Q. Recent Progress on Direct Trifluoromethylthiolating Reagents and Methods. *Huaxue Xuebao* **2017**, *75*, 744–769.
- (4) (a) Tran, L. D.; Popov, I.; Daugulis, O. Copper-promoted sulfenvlation of sp<sup>2</sup> C-H bonds. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 18237— 18240, 18234; . (b) Yang, Y.-D.; Azuma, A.; Tokunaga, E.; Yamasaki, M.; Shiro, M.; Shibata, N. Trifluoromethanesulfonyl hypervalent iodonium ylide for copper-catalyzed trifluoromethylthiolation of enamines, indoles, and beta-keto esters. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 8782-8785. (c) Xu, C.; Shen, Q. Palladium-Catalyzed Trifluoromethylthiolation of Aryl C-H Bonds. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2046-2049. (d) Yin, W.; Wang, Z.; Huang, Y. Highly ortho-selective trifluoromethylthiolation reactions using a ligand exchange strategy. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 2998-3006. (e) Huang, Z.; Yang, Y.-D.; Tokunaga, E.; Shibata, N. Copper-Catalyzed Regioselective Trifluoromethylthiolation of Pyrroles by Trifluoromethanesulfonyl Hypervalent Iodonium Ylide. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 1094-1097. (f) Alazet, S.; Billard, T. Electrophilic aromatic trifluoromethylthiolation with the second generation of trifluoromethanesulfenamide. Synlett 2014, 26, 76-78. (g) Wang, Q.; Qi, Z.; Xie, F.; Li, X. Lewis Acid-Catalyzed Electrophilic Trifluoromethylthiolation of (Hetero)Arenes. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2015, 357, 355-360. (h) Yoshida, M.; Kawai, K.; Tanaka, R.; Yoshino, T.; Matsunaga, S. Cp\*Co(III)-catalyzed directed C-H trifluoromethylthiolation of 2-phenylpyridines and 6-arylpurines. Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 5974-5977.
- (5) (a) Chen, C.; Chu, L.; Qing, F.-L. Metal-free oxidative trifluoromethylthiolation of terminal alkynes with CF3SiMe3 and elemental sulfur. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 12454–12457. (b) Alazet, S.; Zimmer, L.; Billard, T. Base-catalyzed electrophilic trifluoromethylthiolation of terminal alkynes. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 10814–10817. (c) Pluta, R.; Nikolaienko, P.; Rueping, M. Direct catalytic trifluoromethylthiolation of boronic acids and alkynes employing electrophilic shelf-stable N-(trifluoromethylthio)-phthalimide. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 1650–1653.
- (6) Chen, C.; Xu, X.-H.; Yang, B.; Qing, F.-L. Copper-Catalyzed Direct Trifluoromethylthiolation of Benzylic C-H Bonds via Non-directed Oxidative C(sp<sup>3</sup>)-H Activation. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16*, 3372–3375.
- (7) Xiong, H.-Y.; Besset, T.; Cahard, D.; Pannecoucke, X. Palladium(II)-Catalyzed Directed Trifluoromethylthiolation of Unactivated C(sp³)-H Bonds. *J. Org. Chem.* **2015**, *80*, 4204–4212.
- (8) Wu, H.; Xiao, Z.; Wu, J.; Guo, Y.; Xiao, J.-C.; Liu, C.; Chen, Q.-Y. Direct trifluoromethylthiolation of unactivated  $C(sp^3)$ -H using silver(I) trifluoromethanethiolate and potassium persulfate. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 4070–4074.
- (9) Guo, S.; Zhang, X.; Tang, P. Silver-mediated oxidative aliphatic C-H trifluoromethylthiolation. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 4065–4069.
- (10) Mukherjee, S.; Maji, B.; Tlahuext-Aca, A.; Glorius, F. Visible-Light-Promoted Activation of Unactivated C(sp(3))-H Bonds and Their Selective Trifluoromethylthiolation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, 138, 16200–16203.
- (11) (a) Zheng, J.; Cai, J.; Lin, J.-H.; Guo, Y.; Xiao, J.-C. Synthesis and decarboxylative Wittig reaction of difluoromethylene phosphobetaine. *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *49*, 7513–7515. (b) Zheng, J.; Lin, J.-

- H.; Cai, J.; Xiao, J.-C. Conversion between Difluorocarbene and Difluoromethylene Ylide. Chem. - Eur. J. 2013, 19, 15261-15266. (c) Zheng, J.; Wang, L.; Lin, J.-H.; Xiao, J.-C.; Liang, S. H. Difluorocarbene-Derived Trifluoromethylthiolation and [18F]-Trifluoromethylthiolation of Aliphatic Electrophiles. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 13236-13240. (d) Deng, Z.; Lin, J.-H.; Cai, J.; Xiao, J.-C. Direct Nucleophilic Difluoromethylation of Carbonyl Compounds. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 3206-3209. (e) Deng, X.-Y.; Lin, J.-H.; Xiao, J.-C. Pd-Catalyzed Transfer of Difluorocarbene. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 4384–4387. (f) Zheng, J.; Cheng, R.; Lin, J.-H.; Yu, D. H.; Ma, L.; Jia, L.; Zhang, L.; Wang, L.; Xiao, J.-C.; Liang, S. H. An Unconventional Mechanistic Insight into SCF<sub>3</sub> Formation from Difluorocarbene: Preparation of 18F-Labeled alpha-SCF<sub>3</sub> Carbonyl Compounds. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 3196-3200. (g) Yu, J.; Lin, J.-H.; Xiao, J.-C. Reaction of Thiocarbonyl Fluoride Generated from Difluorocarbene with Amines. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56,
- (12) (a) Chachignon, H.; Maeno, M.; Kondo, H.; Shibata, N.; Cahard, D. Novel Use of CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>Cl for the Metal-Free Electrophilic Trifluoromethylthiolation. *Org. Lett.* **2016**, *18*, 2467–2470. (b) Lu, K.; Deng, Z.; Li, M.; Li, T.; Zhao, X. Transition metal-free direct trifluoromethylthiolation of indoles using trifluoromethanesulfonyl chloride in the presence of triphenylphosphine. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2017**, *15*, 1254–1260. (c) Jiang, L.; Yi, W.; Liu, Q. Direct Phosphorus-Induced Fluoroalkylthiolation with Fluoroalkylsulfonyl Chlorides. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2016**, *358*, 3700–3705.
- (13) Jiang, L.; Qian, J.; Yi, W.; Lu, G.; Cai, C.; Zhang, W. Direct trifluoromethylthiolation and perfluoroalkylthiolation of C(sp<sup>2</sup>)-H bonds with CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>Na and RfSO<sub>2</sub>Na. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 14965–14969.
- (14) Vetter, A. C.; Nikitin, K.; Gilheany, D. G. Long sought synthesis of quaternary phosphonium salts from phosphine oxides: inverse reactivity approach. *Chem. Commun.* **2018**, *54*, 5843–5846.
- (15) House, D. A. Kinetics and Mechanism of Oxidations by Peroxydisulfate. *Chem. Rev.* **1962**, *62*, 185–203.